S. :	37
------	----

File With \_\_\_\_\_

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP314485 TO:SEO	Defer Re O/H ☐
1	
Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ r	eceived 23/12/24
Colm + Colom Krecommend that section 13	71-9
13 per not be invoked at this at	1 of the Planning and Development Act. 2000
following reason(s):.	no nu 1880es
E.O.:	Date: 2))25
	Date:
To EO:	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked - allow 2/4 weeks for reply.	
S.E.O.:	5
S.A.O:	Date:
	Date:
M	
Please prepare BP Section 131 notice enclosubmission	osing a copy of the attached
to:	a sie y or this attacited
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
25 <del>- 3</del>	
EO:	Date:
AA:	
	Date:

S.	37

File With	

## CORRESPONDENCE FORM

peal No: ABP_314485	/ follows
ease treat correspondence received on	1 12 129 as follows:
Update database with new agent for Applicant/	Appellant
Amendments/Comments  Resp Reco	
4. Attach to file  (a) R/S	RETURN TO EO
EO: Date: 2-125	Plans Date Stamped  Date Stamped Filled in  AA: Floothors  Date: Lilled

## Alfie Staunton

From:

Colm Kavanagh <kavcolm@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday 23 December 2024 14:43

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

Case number: ABP-314485-22 DAA night time flight's

Caution: This is an External Email and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Planning authority reference number: F20A/0668

Colm & Ewelina Kavanagh 1Airportside Forest little cloghran Swords Co. Dublin K67NW20

## Dear Sir/Madam

In your reasons for granting permission for the extra night time flights you failed to say what the punishment or consequences would be if DAA breach the quarterly quota for the noise, and what if they continuously breach the quarterly quotas? ANCA will probably impose a small financial fine for every quarterly breach which will be like pocket change to the DAA.

An bord pleanala should have put in a condition where the permission for the nighttime flights will be revoked if the quota is breached.

We are living in the red zone, 170metre from the North end of the north runway. The sound proofing measures that are talked about are not sufficient enough for our house.

There should have been a condition where the DAA should pay the entire cost of getting the house to an acceptable level of sound proofing instead of the contribution of €20,000 towards the work.

There was nothing in the decision about the constant strong smell of aviation fuel in the air around the north runway, We can taste the fuel on a daily basis, This can't be good for me or my families health, There was talk of aircraft being more environmentally friendly by the year 2035, but what are we supposed to do till then?

The decision didn't take into account any thing about the passenger cap and the fact that the DAA want it lifted. This will lead to an increase in the number of flights and will change all the conditions and studies that you based your decision on.

Thank you Regards Colm & Ewelina Kavanagh